

**Transportation Library Connectivity &
Development Pooled Fund Study
TPF-5(237)**

Annual Meeting – August 17-18, 2015

August 17th

Dial in: 573-526-3993, Teleconference ID 21948#

11:00 am-1:00 pm FFY15 Year in Review – Financials, project status reports

1:00-2:00 pm Break

2:00-3:00 pm Geek Squad for Libraries, James King, Branch Chief & Information Architect, National Institutes for Health

In response to the changing needs at NIH, the library's Informationist service has been expanded into several non- traditional areas, including bioinformatics, data services, bibliometrics, and custom information solutions. This session explores the development of the custom information solutions service that offers technical consulting services, web development, database architecture, and taxonomy development for research groups at NIH. Get tips and ideas for custom information solutions and services in your library.

August 18th

11:00-12:00 Draft Final Report outline review, feedback

12:00-1:00 Librarians & OSTP Open Access Compliance, Mary Moulton & Sarah Young

Mary and Sarah will lead a discussion of the latest developments in USDOT's public access compliance policy, the impact on states and how libraries are taking the lead in providing guidance to both researchers and grant administrators in public access compliance. As more public access policies roll out from federal funding agencies in response to last year's mandate from the Office of Science and Technology Policy (USDOT), we expect an increase in demand for such support.

1:00-2:00

EOS/SirsiDynix Consortium ILS Demo and Discussion

Jeff Smith and Bryan Long will join us for a demo and discussion of the consortium ILS purchase opportunity. This will be a good concept to have in mind as you discuss the future of Transportation Connectivity!

2:00

Option to adjourn, or stay on the meeting to discuss plans for FFY2016!



Thank you for the opportunity to work with you all on this amazing project! We have truly enjoyed helping you make this consortium a major force for change in the advancement of the transportation library community and TKNs!

~Maggie, Dave and Kirsten

Transportation Library
CONNECTIVITY

Special Guest Bios

James King, Branch Chief & Information Architect at NIH

As the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Library's Branch Chief & Information Architect, James has spent the last several years blending his IT background with librarianship to enhance services and meet the changing needs of the largest medical research agency in the world. James leads the Custom Information Services effort, which is focused on building partnerships to meet the information needs of researchers through the application of information tools. Previously, James spent 18 years at the Naval Research Laboratory's Research Library and was fortunate to be a part of a forward-thinking digital library team during the birth of the Internet and web era. James assisted with and later managed one of the first and largest government digitization and Web-based efforts in federal libraries. Ultimately rising to the rank of Chief Librarian, James continued to reshape the library to address the changing needs of researchers and engineers in the 21st century.

James is very active in the Special Libraries Association (SLA), serving as 2010 DC/SLA President, convener of the Information Futurist Caucus, a member of two national conference planning councils, and is currently serving on the SLA Board of Directors. James has a Master in Library & Information Science from Catholic University (2002) and a Bachelors of Computer Graphics from Salem International University. James has served on several industry library advisory boards and has received several recognitions including the CUA Library School Alumni Award (2015), the FEDLINK Federal Librarian of the Year (2015), SLA Fellow (2011), SLA Innovations in Technology Award (2006), and ALA's Federal and Armed Forces Libraries Round Table Achievement (2006) and Distinguished Service (2003) Awards. He regularly carves out free time to go on adventures with his wife Carla and daughter Sarah.

Mary Moulton, Digital Librarian, National Transportation Library

the National Transportation Library (NTL) in 2011 following a career in the private sector. NTL is a digital repository of federally funded research, statistical data products, and other information needed for transportation decision-making at the Federal, State, and local levels. As Digital Librarian, Mary leads the development and enhancement of digital repository services, including information organization, digital curation, cooperative digitization, and discovery and use of NTL resources. In addition to an MLIS degree, she has a BS in Plant Science and MS in Entomology. Mary is a volunteer reading mentor in the Everybody Wins DC! literacy program.

Sarah Young, Health Science and Policy Librarian, Cornell University

Sarah Young has been the Health Science and Policy Librarian at Cornell University's Albert R. Mann Library since 2012. She serves as the liaison to the Division of Nutritional Sciences, and the departments of Human Development and Policy Analysis and Management. She provides reference, instruction and research support for students and researchers and holds advanced degrees in biology and international development, and an MLIS from the University of Pittsburgh. Sarah provides guidance to researchers, administrators and faculty on compliance with the NIH public access policy for publications and has been involved in monitoring emerging policies related to the OSTP public access mandate. She is an avid world traveler, former juggler, and future banjo player.

Transportation Library Connectivity & Development
Pooled Fund Study TPF-5(237) – Annual Meeting – Minutes
August 17-18, 2015 – WebEx

Technical Advisory Committee:

Arizona DOT, Dale Steele	Michigan DOT, Alexandra Briseno	Ohio DOT, Zona Kahkonen
California DOT, Karen Kasuba,	Minnesota DOT, Sheila Hatchell	Keppler
Shubhangi Kelekar	Missouri DOT, Renee McHenry	Oregon DOT, Laura Wilt
Connecticut DOT, Betty Ambler	Nevada DOT, Mitch Ison	Pennsylvania DOT, Barbarajean Storm
Idaho DOT, Ned Parrish	New Jersey DOT, Carol Paszamant	University of Minnesota CTS,
Illinois, Karen Perrin	New Mexico DOT, Joseph Valdez	Marilee Tuite
Iowa DOT, Leighton Christiansen	New York State DOT, Jane Minotti	Washington State DOT, Kathy Szolomayer
Kansas DOT, Marie Manthe	North Carolina DOT, Lamara Williams	Wisconsin DOT, John Cherney
Louisiana DOT/LTRC, Sandy Brady		

Guests:

FHWA, Deena Adelman; AASHTO, Bob Cullen; Volpe, Susan Dresley; NTL, Mary Moulton; UMTRI, Bob Sweet

HS InFocus: Maggie Sacco, Kirsten Seeber

Note: Highlighted names represent members and guests who were present in person or online for all or part of the meeting.

August 17, 2015

FFY15 Year in Review – Financials, project status reports

- Dave – Five year summary review. Dave’s spreadsheet shows the budgets and actual funds spent for each FFY. HS InFocus numbers match exactly what MoDOT says we have spent, so that’s great. Dave takes the expenditures and fits them into the budget line items so we can see where the money is spent. This allows the TAC to see how their funds are spent. The budget went up over the years. We underspent each year, not by a large amount, but we still came in under budget.
 - In FFY13, it looks like we overspent, but money was added to the budget that year in a supplemental agreement. MoDOT obligated the remaining money that came from the previous study, TPF-5(105).
 - We will have funds remaining for FFY15, which Renee will discuss later. The amount is approximately \$20,000.
- Renee – She displayed the spreadsheet that shows each member’s commitments/transfers per FFY. This includes information from FMIS, that lists each state DOT’s funds, as well as those members that aren’t state DOTs. Those members’ commitments/transfers are not in FMIS. Renee’s spreadsheet tells us the maximum of funds we can spend in a FFY.
 - All of the commitments made for FFY15 came through and all transfers for the FFY are in.
- Remaining funds – Proposal to spend these funds - Renee

- We would like to spend as much of the projected remaining funds as possible. Renee proposed using some of those funds on group subscriptions, such as Springshare LibGuides, Library of Congress Cataloger’s Desktop, Library of Congress Classification Web and the KM Calendar website hosting. The total for paying these subscriptions ahead for the next year would be \$7,459. This would make sure that members would have access to these services during the break between the current study and the new one, which Renee estimates could be up to six months.

Subscriptions Affected	Renewal price	Type	Access ends	New renewal date
Library of Congress - Cataloger's Desktop	\$1,100.00	Group access	11/14/2015	11/14/2016
Library of Congress - Classification Web	\$775.00	Group access	11/9/2015	11/9/2016
Springshare LibGuides	\$5,499.00	Group access	Sep-15	Sep-16
KM Calendar website hosting fee	\$85.00	Supported by group	One year after hosting begins	TBD - One year after hosting begins
TOTAL COST	\$7,459.00			

- The TAC does not have to make a decision on paying for these subscriptions today. Feel free to contact Renee if you have questions or comments.
- Karen P. – Can we vote on this now to save time? Renee has given folks until August 24th to get back to her with comments/questions.
- Kathy – Will FHWA come back later and say it’s not okay to do this? Renee – This is unprecedented because there is no other pooled fund like ours. We haven’t asked the pooled fund person at FHWA. Since this study will essentially be continued in the new study, Renee feels this would be an appropriate use of funds. Kathy is fine with using the funds this way and is wondering if we could pay for two years of the LibGuide subscription. Yes, Springshare said we could pay for two years at the same rate of \$5,499.
 - ≈ Dale – He likes the idea but who would be the actual subscriber? Renee – MoDOT. MoDOT will be the subscriber for all subscriptions that get renewed.
 - ≈ Renee – She’s a little concerned if the members will feel this subscription will still be valuable to them two years from now. She’s also concerned about members who are in this study and not in the next one. Would they still be subscribers?
 - ≈ Since the money is being spent from this study, wouldn’t all current members be able to be subscribers, even if they aren’t in the next study? Renee – She thinks in theory this would be ok, but she’s trying to anticipate all future scenarios. She would have to keep track of who has access to the LibGuides subscriptions from the previous study. Would we be able to add new folks to this subscription? Maggie – Yes, this is for unlimited use, both guides and accounts.
 - ≈ Marie – She likes renewing the subscriptions. Lamara asked in the chat if we have to spend those funds before 9/30/15. Renee – Yes, all remaining funds have to be spent by the end of the current study.
 - ≈ Maggie set up a poll in the WebEx asking folks if they are ok with Renee’s initial proposal regarding subscriptions. We will have to ask further about spending the money for two years on LibGuides.

- ≈ Renee – She will have to talk with Bill Stone about a two-year renewal for the LibGuides.
 - Karen P. – What will we do with the other approximately \$13,000 that will still be unspent? She proposed a continuation of the cataloging project she is doing. Her contractor, John Gallwey is cataloging TRB reports. He creates new records or enhances existing records. She feels this is a good project that would benefit all transportation librarians. Besides the subscriptions and this project, what are the other options?
 - ≈ John could start late August. Renee – How many titles could he get done by 9/30/15? Karen will ask John Gallwey how much he thinks he could get done by that date.
 - ≈ Dale – When paying a contractor, we are paying as they do the work. A subscription can be paid ahead.
 - Marie – Lamara also suggested her cataloging project as a possible project to spend the remaining funds on. Renee – Lamara’s project is North Carolina focused. Lamara – Her project is focused on both North Carolina and national stuff. Renee – This muddies the waters a bit. She would have to speak to Bill about if the funds could be used on the NC cataloging project.
 - ≈ Lamara – They have a ton of FHWA stuff, national stuff, so it benefits everyone. They do have some stuff that is NC-specific too.
 - Marie – Anything that is of value that is not in OCLC, she appreciates it being entered there. It doesn’t matter who published it, as long as the information is valuable. She feels the cumulative value of getting valuable information into OCLC for everyone to use.
 - Dave – Working with John Gallwey and Chris Mulder (NC DOT cataloger) is a dream for HS InFocus from an administrative perspective.
 - Renee – She would like to keep things simpler with spending the leftover funds and not have to worry about allocating funds between projects. She is trying to keep the closeout financial part of this as clean and simple as possible. We need to think it through and she will talk to Bill Stone about it.
- Project status reports
 - Karen P. – IL DOT Cataloging project - John Gallwey is cataloging the TRB reports. Karen showed a record John has created. His records are elaborate and he has cataloged all the way up to TRR #2471. She was going to have him switch over to the NCHRP reports, but would be open to another series that folks feel would be valuable.
 - ≈ Maggie – How did the funding mechanism work for this type of project? Karen P. – It’s been amazingly easy. The toughest part was writing the proposal to the pooled fund folks at the IL DOT to obtain approval. As far as the management of paying John, HS InFocus managed it. She would get reports from John on what, and how much, work he did so she could oversee his work.
 - Lamara – NC DOT Cataloging project - Chris Mulder is the cataloger. The project would not have been done without using money through the pooled fund as there is a hiring freeze there. Before Chris began, there were a couple of shelves that had been cataloged. Now there are over 3,000 items that have been cataloged. Customers can now find items in the library and Lamara feels it a real library now. There is less than 1% of the items to be cataloged. They will send these items to the State Library, as they are mostly NC-related. Final number of items handled = 3,832. Much of the items were original NC items and a lot of them were from FHWA.
 - Betty – CT Website project – Betty was not on the call.
 - Multistate Cataloging project – Maggie – Chris Mulder was the cataloger.
 - KM Calendar project – Maggie – Maria Pabico is the designer. Maria has made a lot of progress in the last few weeks. There have been delays due to migration, backend and coding issues. The

site is in WordPress. Maria has been building the site, adding the various ways someone can search for, and sort, events. Maggie showed the full site to the group. Natassja Linzau from TRB has been very helpful advising on the back end and technical details of the site. There will be a two week testing period, hopefully beginning August 25th.

Geek Squad for Libraries, James King, Branch Chief & Information Architect, National Institutes for Health

- Resume info from Maggie.
- The NIH is part of the Department of Health and Human Services. He is in the biomedical institute.
- The NIH Library has 48 employees and 12 contractors. It is organized in a matrix style. Most of their activities are through teams. Each year teams are formed to focus on their strategic objectives. Any employees can join any team.
- They focus on meeting the needs of NIH employees.
- Informationist program (embedded librarians) – Informationists integrate information solutions into workflow of the NIH and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).
 - They recently evolved to skills-based informationists vs. based on specific topics.
- Data Management Service – Classes on data management training, in person or online. Lots of consulting and they also provide online tutorials. They have purchased software related to this.
- Custom Information Solutions - They support the informationists. When a project goes beyond the librarian's scientific scope and goes into technology, such as building a website, James' team gets involved. They develop the project themselves or get outsiders involved.
- Questions
 - Maggie – Can we get more specifics about your data management training program? Lisa Federer is the lead for the training. She has taught classes on a statistics program doing data management and analysis. She is looking at data curation. They are trying to figure out to what degree they should look at managing data sets. They decided not to host them because of the storage space needed. She might create a catalog that will list NIH data sets hosted in other places.
 - Maggie – Is the data management training program only for library staff or other employees? The data management service is for all of NIH. Is there a data department or does the IT department do this? The person they have is a data informationist. She is a librarian and develops the data management service. She works with IT but works with folks all across NIH. She works mainly with the person in a new data management position at NIH.
 - ≈ The DOT is coming out with a policy on data management. The librarians will potentially have a big role in data accessibility and curation. Librarians are wondering where they will fit in with that and how they can help their organizations. James – There is a role to play for the librarians, but it's a matter of gaining access to the process and working with the folks in the department who are working with data.
 - How does the staff like being called informationists? James – They have both librarians and informationists. The informationists have a higher workload and thus have a higher grade than the librarians. The informationist title has grown out of the biomedical community.
 - Kathy – Are you aware of any health and transportation research that is going on nationally? This is big in Washington right now. James – He doesn't know of any.
 - James is on the Board of Directors for SLA. SLA has released the areas of focus for SLA. The annual conference continues to evolve. Next year's conference will have more formal tracks for

the conference. They are trying to increase attendance at the conference as a lot of the organization's revenue comes from it.

≈ Maggie – Will the Transportation Division be forced to merge with others? We don't want to see it disappear. It's a small division, but active. James – No divisions will be forced to merge with another. Everyone needs to have connectivity so that they can network and have a home within SLA.

August 18, 2015

Draft Final Report outline review, feedback

- Maggie showed the draft outline for the pooled fund final report.
- The report will be in electronic form only.
- Report outline:
 - Goals of study
 - Activities of the study
 - Functional consortium model
 - Results and Lessons Learned – This the most important section to document what worked and what didn't. It will help future pooled funds.
 - Recommendations
 - Next steps
- Jane – Add LibGuides to the report.
- Dale – Can we add some testimonials so folks could say how useful the study was to their organization? It could then act as a sales tool for the next study. Add them in as side bars so they are easily seen.
 - Maggie – Anyone willing to solicit a comment from their Research managers? Maggie will send a request to TranLib for comments from librarians and their Research managers. She would like them in the next two weeks. Karen P., Marie and Lamara will all ask their folks.

Project status reports

- Research Report Documentation-Access special project – Renee
 - TransAnalytics was the contractor.
 - There are now two different subgroups looking at each aspect of this project. Through multiple revisions from transportation folks, the subgroup has created modified instructions for the 1972 Tech Page. <http://research.transportation.org/Pages/Report-Guidelines-and-Requirements.aspx>
 - Sue Sillick obtained copyright and disclaimer statements from most state DOTs.
 - The group has also submitted a modified form (of the 1972 version) to use. The US DOT would like the group to ask the various audiences who use this form for feedback. This will take a while so don't expect the modified form anytime soon.
 - The results of this study extend to the entire transportation community, not just the members of the pooled fund study.
 - The subgroup would like to get the word out on the form to the wider transportation research community.
 - Other subgroup on research report distribution has a suggested list of who to distribute research reports to.
 - ≈ Waiting for approval from AASHTO and RAC leadership.
- Connecticut Website – Maggie
 - We had a contractor, Amanda Goodman, work with Betty to update her website. www.ctdotlibrary.org.

- ≈ There are sections for catalog, e-journals, e-resources and new items.
- ≈ Amanda also works on the ETKN and WTKN websites.

Other

- LibGuides – Maggie
 - We now have 13 LibGuides and a growing list of authors.
 - Karen P. – Did we make a decision on using leftover funds on group subscriptions? Renee – All, but five members, voted yes to renew the two Library of Congress subscriptions and LibGuides for the next year. Renee has emailed the other five members to get their feedback. Right now, the proposal looks like it will be approved.
 - ≈ There were two other proposals to spend further funds, on a second year of the LibGuides subscription or a cataloging project. The cataloging proposal is not feasible from an operational standpoint given how close we are to the end of the study. Renee still needs to speak with Bill Stone about the second year renewal of LibGuides to see if he wants to seek FHWA feedback on this. The TAC will still need to approve this proposal, if Bill signs off on it.
 - ≈ Karen P. would like to see the study to spend as much money as possible. We need additional suggestions for how to do this, and we need the suggestions quickly. Renee – The suggestions need to be simple to execute. The groups can brainstorm on this.
 - ≈ Karen P. – What about a journal subscription?

Librarians & OSTP Open Access Compliance, Mary Moulton (USDOT) & Sarah Young (Cornell University)

Mary Moulton– [Overview of the USDOT Public Access Plan](#)

- The White House released a memo on 2013 on increasing access to federally funded scientific research.
- Why is it important to make the results of federally funded research available to the public?
 - Accountability - We are taking public money and it's good for the public to see what we are doing with it.
 - Cost savings – We are reusing the research results.
 - To use the research. It shouldn't sit on a shelf. The folks who conducted the research should get credit for it.
- Their Public Access Plan is not yet public yet, but will be soon. The plan is still under development and is subject to change.
- Once the plan is made public, they will advertise it far and wide, to make sure everyone knows about it.
- The USDOT doesn't have control over the publisher's format. Therefore it won't truly be open access.

Sarah Young – [Navigating the Waters of Federal Public Access Policies](#)

- NIH has a Publications team and a Data team.
- The Research Data Management Services Group is helping research groups write data management plans.
- The Library (where Sarah works) is on the Publications team.
- Questions
 - Karen P. - Does anyone know what the Fund Ref code for a particular DOT would be? Or how to apply for a Fund Ref code? My research coordinator wants to know what we would fill in for the Fund Ref Code on the form. Sarah – They don't currently have those codes but will. They should

be provided. What if research is solely state funded? Do they still apply for a code? Sarah – Go to fundref.org.

- Laura – Will the approval plan for the data management plan go through the local FHWA offices or at the national level? How long will it take? Sarah – She doesn't have the answer. She doesn't think you are on your own or that it will take too long.
- Data Dictionary: <https://github.com/USG-SCOPE/data-dictionary/blob/gh-pages/Metadata-Scheme-for-Data-Dictionaries.md>
- Dale – With reports where only links are provided, is it expected the links will be persistent? Mary – Yes, to the greatest extent possible.

EOS/SirsiDynix Consortium ILS Demo and Discussion – Jeff Smith and Bryan Long

- Jeff is the point person for the EOS Consortium. They already have a number of DOT libraries so they are looking at consortium pricing.
- They are also looking at their existing functionality to allow all libraries to search against everyone's holdings.
 - Everyone would have their own independent catalog but would be able to search across all participating DOT libraries. Also, looking to have ILL built into it.
- Since the transportation libraries would be larger than any groups they have now, they are looking into the architecture of their product to make sure it can handle searches across dozens of libraries. They want to make sure the performance will be up to par.
- Jeff asked four questions from the folks they met with at SLA and sent their feedback to their tech folks.
- They want to provide consortium pricing for this group. They price on the number of staff users. The DOT libraries vary in number of staff, so they are looking at bundling the product and discounting that price.
 - They are looking at providing additional products such as the ILL function, etc. They would provide discounts off those as well.
 - They are trying to keep the pricing as simple and fair as possible.
- Laura – For new customers, can you show them what they would pay if purchased on their own and then the discount they would get as part of the consortium? Jeff – Yes.
- Bryan – The product can manage books, journals, documents, devices, etc. as well as check in/check out capability.
 - They have different modules which provide different functionality that the DOT libraries might need.
 - ≈ Indexer module – It will search the content within documents and bring it back as a search result.
 - ≈ Reference tracking module – Keeps track of all of the questions EOS has answered. And provides a repository on their online catalog to allow users to browse questions that have been previously asked and answered.
 - ≈ Knowledge Builder module – Allows the user to create templates in their catalog.
- They will send out data sheets on their products. They would also do one-on-one demonstrations so they can discuss pricing for individual organizations.
- Carol – Their state library uses Horizon, a SirsiDynix product. Would this be feasible for a very large collection and would it be transferable from SirsiDynix? Yes, as SirsiDynix is the parent company of EOS. Huge collections, even in the millions, are manageable. Their software is scalable.
- VDOT – Bryan showed their FAQ section as an example of the Reference Tracking module.
- Movado Watches – Bryan showed them as an example of their Knowledge Builder module.

- Laura – Can Portfolio, from SirsiDynix, be incorporated into EOS? Jeff – It could be developed, but it is not on their immediate roadmap. The goal is to take the best of the SirsiDynix products and port them over as there is customer interest.
- Jane – Which module do you need to manage documents and be able to search them? Bryan – A couple of different options. One is linked media, which is in all of their products. Jane already has this because they are already a customer. The other option is the Indexer module, which NYS DOT doesn't have at this time. Some clients use the Knowledge Builder module to manage their documents or you can use MARC records.
- Jane – If they are an existing customer and a consortium is formed, would they get a discount on new modules? Jeff – Yes.
- Laura – How would we go about forming a consortium? Bryan – They provide have a group discount for pooled fund members. Members would get a certain discount across all products they purchase.
- Jeff will continue to send emails to folks with updates on the consortium discounts and their products.

Other

- Renee – She distributed a transition period document so folks know what will happen with existing subscriptions and other aspects of the study between pooled funds. Renee will keep members apprised of what is going on with this study, and the new one, via emails to the group. She is also happy to talk with anyone via email or a phone call.
- Renee - Does anyone have suggestions for ways to spend down the unused funds?
 - Karen mentioned journal subscriptions earlier on the call.
 - John suggested the ITE Digital Library in the chat box. Renee looked at the pricing and it looked a little expensive. John said it might be cheaper via an affiliate membership. We would have to see how quickly they can get it set up.
 - ≈ We will look into it and get a quote.
 - Renee – Institutional access to the NTIS, National Technical Reports Library literature search for advance searching and the ability to download the PDFs. You can also request materials be digitized. A subscription would be around \$2,000 per year. We would have to see if our consortium could get institutionalized pricing.
 - ≈ Marie is interested in this.
 - Carol – Copyright Clearance Center subscription. Renee – It may be individualized to the library.
 - Lamara – Is there a service where we can get a full text articles? Renee – Like documents delivery? Yes, this is available. Her question is if we have a deposit account, how do we make sure that folks use it equitably?
 - ≈ Laura – Can't folks usually get articles for free through some source such as their state library or a friend at a different library? Renee – This is usually the case but there are cases where you have to purchase a resource, such as from the British Library.
 - ≈ Carol – Document delivery services charge for the material and a fee for delivery. Carol can help anyone who may need to contact a document delivery service for material. She may be able to help you.
 - Carol – Nova Science Publishing sent an email with titles that are interesting. We could do some cooperative collection development. Carol will send the email to Renee.
 - Marie – PE Review material. Renee – She isn't sure how to do this? Laura – What about an electronic version? A group subscription? Maggie will contact a publisher to see about buying their materials.

- Renee will have HS InFocus look into the options to check out prices and the ease/quickness of setting them up.
- Karen – Can we give everyone a set dollar amount and find out what they would spend their money on? Renee – This will be too complicated from an accounting standpoint. She would rather find a group purchase and see if the majority of the group would go for it. Renee is basing on past experience. It's a good idea in theory, but it will take up a lot of administrative time in tracking everyone's orders.
- Carol – Subscription to the Elsevier Transportation Research Series, Parts A-F. Renee – There will be an issue of providing the number of users, IP addresses, etc., but we will look into it.
- Meeting ended at 2:43pm CST.